

**REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the subject application.

Claims 1-21 were originally submitted.

Claims 11-21 are withdrawn without prejudice per the Restriction Requirement of this communication.

Claims 1-10 remain in this application.

1                   **Examiner Interview**

2                   A telephone interview took place on June 12, 2007 between Examiner  
3 Michael Pyzocha and Emmanuel Rivera. In particular, it was discussed that the  
4 primary reference U.S. Patent 6,742,114 to Carter et al does not teach or suggest  
5 an “emblem” as recited in the claims. Examiner Pyzocha pointed out that  
6 “emblem” needed to be further defined in the claims, since the “deputy credential”  
7 as taught in Carter could be interpreted as an “emblem”. Independent claim 1 from  
8 which all other claims depend upon has been recited that “an emblem that includes  
9 an object and credential” which finds support in the specification. Such “an  
10 emblem” is not taught by Carter. Examiner Pyzocha indicated that this  
11 amendment initially overcomes Carter, and the rejections should be removed.

